

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1459
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.tapple.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/856,468	06/07/2001	Takaaki Hirai	107176-00006 6366		
23353	7590 12/18/2003		EXAMINER		
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			CHANG, VICTOR S		
LION BUILD	ING TREET N.W., SUITE 501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1771		

1771 DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			()
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	UR
Advisory Action	09/856,468	HIRAI ET AL.	Up
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Victor S Chang	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av- final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment whice	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The feave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply se later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate or the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		idered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ınd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-20.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	M.C.		
	DANIEL ZIRKER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300 - /700	Samil Z	Zukn

Application/Control Number: 09/856,468

Art Unit: 1771

NOTE

- 1. Applicants' proposed amendment to cancel claim 9, and incorporate its element "crystallinity in the range of from 1 to 8%" into amended claim 1, has been entered. However, the Examiner repeats (see Paper No. 0915, page 5) that Park clearly teaches that by incorporating greater than 15 wt% of the co-monomers, an amorphous polyester, rather than a crystalline polyester is obtained. As such, it is believed that a suitable small amount of the aforementioned co-monomers is either inherently disclosed by JP '590, or an obvious optimization to one skilled in the art to incorporate a small amount of co-monomers (e.g., less than 15%, as taught by Park), motivated by the desire to obtain a suitable low crystallinity (i.e., not fully amorphous) in the pre-expanded foam particles, as taught by JP '590. In the absence of unexpected results, it is believed that the prior rejection is still deemed proper. Finally, the Examiner notes that if continued prosecution, i.e., a CPA or RCE, is contemplated, the minor informalities of claims 15-18 being dependent upon cancelled claim 2 should be corrected.
- 2. Applicants' argument that the melt tension measurement conditions is supported in the original specification is persuasive. As such, the objection to the amended specification under 35 U.S.C. 132 is withdrawn.
- 3. Regarding to Applicants' traversal of the rejection of claims 6 and 16 (Remarks, page 5, bottom paragraph), it should be noted that although the specification was

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/856,468

Art Unit: 1771

amended to add the melt tension measurement conditions, the conditions are still absent from the claims.

4. With respect to Applicants' remarks regarding a Declaration and Experimental Report submitted concurrently with the amendment (Remarks, page 7, 2nd and 3rd paragraphs), the Examiner notes that there was no such Declaration and Experimental Report to be found with the newly submitted amendment. Additionally, it should be noted that it is untimely to submit Declaration after Final.